



South Carolina Public Service Commission

January 31, 2018

Topics we will cover today

- The Importance and Types of Procurement
- Procurement Methods
- Best Practices in Procurement
- Comparing Procurement Methods
- Auction Method Results
- Benefits

Procurement is Vitally Important

High Stakes

Individual transactions can easily exceed **\$100M in spend** for utilities – getting the best price is crucial

High Risk

Balance complexities: regulatory, transactional, market timing, stakeholder perception, and opportunity cost

High Visibility

Multiple stakeholders including shareholders, legislative & regulatory bodies, and customer advocacy groups

Typical Utility Transaction Types

Utilities provide natural gas by:

- Owning upstream resources
 - e.g., wellhead, liquefaction
- Long-term gas supply contracts
 - e.g., 10-20 years, Elba LNG

- Short-term gas supply contracts/bilateral trades/options (< 5yrs)
 - Exchange (e.g., ICE)
 - 1-v-1 communication (e.g., email)

- Daily/spot natural gas purchases for uncovered volumes

Utilities provide power by:

- Owned Generation/asset acquisitions
- Long-term purchased power
 - Unit Specific
 - Portfolio

- Bilateral power contracts/bilateral trades/options (< 5 years)
 - Exchange (e.g., ICE)
 - 1-v-1 communication (e.g., email)

- Day-ahead & spot purchases

Evolution of Procurement Methods

Sealed Bid

- ▶ One-time, private submittal of “best-&-final” bid in advance of deadline
- ▶ Bidders submit what they think will be accepted, not what they can afford

Multiple-Round, Descending Clock Auction

- ▶ Multiple rounds of sealed bids; price drops in each subsequent round
- ▶ Price is fixed; Volume is bid
- ▶ All bidders secure price at market point of least-competitive supplier

Live, Online, Reverse Auction

- ▶ Generates intense competitive bidding for each product against a hard-stop timeline
- ▶ Bidders can see each others' prices and continuously compete to win
- ▶ Achieves lowest possible prices

Pre-Internet Technology

Used in RTO Capacity Procurement

State of the Art

Symptoms of Imperfect Procurements

- ✗ Hardly any suppliers bidding
- ✗ Hard to evaluate / subjective / inconsistent
- ✗ Data Integrity Concerns
- ✗ High Cost to Administer
- ✗ Bidder Fatigue
- ✗ Irregularities, Unwarranted Perceptions
- ✗ No or few opportunities for competition to drive to best price

Characteristics of Optimized Procurements

- ✓ Easy to Bid
 - ✓ Instantaneous bidding
 - ✓ Easy to Evaluate & Decide
- Efficient**

- ✓ Transparency and reporting
 - ✓ Highly Auditable
- Document Scrutiny**

- ✓ Able to see best price so far, & reduce further and further
 - ✓ Highly Secure and Strong Data Integrity
- Price Discovery**

- ✓ More Competitors
- Intense Competition & Outlier Bids**

Yield Positive Outcomes

Lower Ratepayer Cost

- Transacting & executing quickly yields lower premiums.
- Focused supplier attention in a 10-minute bidding session increases liquidity & competition
- Maximum competition using interactive bidding

Increase Transparency

- Bidders see current low bid and can rebid to pull ahead, win business.
- Utilities watch the bidding unfold live and monitor bidding activity

Deliver Immediate Documentation of Auction Results

- Fully auditable, with immediate access to auction process & outcomes. Commissions & staff, & internal utility teams, can review for fairness and compliance.

Comparing Benefits of Evolving Procurement Methods

	Price Discovery	Speed / Efficiency	Bid Improvement	Best Price for Ratepayer
Sealed Bid	✗	✗	One Bid Only	Good
Descending Clock Auction	✓	✗	✓ ✓	Better
On-line, Live Reverse Auction	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	Best

Live Reverse Auctions Drive Competition

High number of bidders and constant price discovery reduces costs to ratepayers

Auction Information

Auction Start Time: 4/19/2017 11:10:00 AM EPT
Auction End Time: 4/19/2017 11:20:00 AM EPT
Total Quantity: 500,000 MMBtu/day
Opening Bid (\$/MMBtu): 0.05000
Reserve Price (\$/MMBtu): N/A
Reserve Status: N/A

RFP Status: Closed-Awarded
Time Left: Auction Ended
Total Bids: 33 Unique Bidders: 13
Low Bid (\$/MMBtu): 0.02500
Low Bidder: Bidder A

Price Graph



Live Reverse Auctions Drive Competition

With last bid blind, winning bidder outbids themselves 20% of the time as the lowest bid

Bid History

Company Name	Bid Amount	Bid Quantity	Date and Time of Bid
Bidder A	(\$0.01250)	10,000	4/19/2017 10:59:44
Bidder A	(\$0.01000)	5,000	4/19/2017 10:59:32
Bidder B	(\$0.00500)	5,000	4/19/2017 10:59:10
Bidder A	(\$0.00250)	10,000	4/19/2017 10:56:17
Bidder C	\$0.00000	30,000	4/19/2017 10:52:57
Bidder D	\$0.00000	10,000	4/19/2017 10:57:27
Bidder B	\$0.00000	10,000	4/19/2017 10:55:54
Bidder A	\$0.00500	10,000	4/19/2017 10:55:49
Bidder E	\$0.00850	10,000	4/19/2017 10:54:04
Bidder F	\$0.01000	20,000	4/19/2017 10:55:31
Bidder D	\$0.01000	10,000	4/19/2017 10:57:04
Bidder E	\$0.01100	20,000	4/19/2017 10:53:24
Bidder A	\$0.01250	10,000	4/19/2017 10:55:14
Bidder A	\$0.02000	10,000	4/19/2017 10:54:31
Bidder G	\$0.02000	10,000	4/19/2017 10:54:34
Bidder F	\$0.03000	20,000	4/19/2017 10:52:37
Bidder E	\$0.03000	20,000	4/19/2017 10:51:33
Bidder D	\$0.03000	10,000	4/19/2017 10:51:53
Bidder A	\$0.03000	10,000	4/19/2017 10:53:33
Bidder H	\$0.03000	5,000	4/19/2017 10:56:21

6 – Final Bid

5 & 6 – Last Bid Blind

4 – “midway” bid

2 & 3 – testing waters;
improves own bid

1 – Opening Bid

And Competition Means Large Ratepayer Savings

Final bids are below utility's "transactable" price, leading to ~\$500,000 in savings in this example

	1. 7x24 ATC Q1 '18	2. 7x24 ATC 2H '18	3. 5x16 On- Peak Cal 2018	4 7x24 ATC Cal 2018
Customer Target (\$/MWh)	\$40.00	\$32.03	\$39.60	\$33.81
Final Price (\$/MWh)	\$38.45	\$29.50	\$37.59	\$31.10
\$/MWH Difference	\$1.55	\$2.53	\$2.01	\$2.71
MWh's Procured	21,600	44,160	40,800	87,600
Potential Cost Avoidance	\$33,480	\$111,725	\$82,008	\$237,396

Extensive World Bank Study on Procurement Methods

- “Most of the energy auctions carried out as part of the first generation of power sector reforms have been designed as sealed-bid auctions...**A clock auction enables an efficient price discovery, and is conducive to more aggressive behavior among bidders...resulting in lower prices.**”
- The World Bank studied the results of a Florida utility that used the anglo-dutch auction design:
“The practical usefulness of the auctions is best summarized by an official of the municipal utility who, after the first-time use of the auction to procure an electricity forward contract, observed that, **‘the auction resulted in a savings of about 10 percent**, compared with what the muni[cipal utility] normally pays ... the process worked tremendously for us. I see this as something that is going to catch on It’s very good for competition. It’s unmasking the prices and will save us between \$500,000 and \$1 million annually.”

Source: <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/114141468265789259/pdf/638750PUB0Exto00Box0361531B0PUBLIC0.pdf>

Benefits of Modernizing Procurement

Transparency

- Drives competition and ensures competitive & prudent rates, with optimal procurement outcomes.
- Contributes heavily to lesser-informed stakeholders' understanding markets and products

Robust competition

- Ensures best market price
- Contributes to keeping utility operating costs and risks low

Strong auction models reinforce markets' growth and new products being developed

- Contributes to utility's ability to optimize portfolio and manage risk in rapidly evolving landscape

Preferred auction engagement

- Has no-risk model, with no transaction being forced, to minimize utility risk and cost
- Integrates to optimize the "bid-getting" piece of utility's procurement process
- Start with open price discovery, and end with best and final "sealed bid" offer

Raphael Herz

Wholesale Procurement Sales Manager

617-997-1884

Raphael.Herz@enernoc.com

Alexander Houghtaling

Wholesale & Government Procurement Director

617-990-2482

ahoughtaling@enernoc.com

